

An infinite family of prime knots with a certain property for the clasp number

Teruhisa KADOKAMI and Kengo KAWAMURA

Abstract

The clasp number $c(K)$ of a knot K is the minimum number of clasp singularities among all clasp disks bounded by K . It is known that the genus $g(K)$ and the unknotting number $u(K)$ are lower bounds of the clasp number, that is, $\max\{g(K), u(K)\} \leq c(K)$. Then it is natural to ask whether there exists a knot K such that $\max\{g(K), u(K)\} < c(K)$. In this paper, we prove that there exists an infinite family of prime knots such that the question above is affirmative.

1 Introduction

It is known that every knot in S^3 bounds a singular disk in S^3 whose singular set consists of only clasp singularities as illustrated in Figure 1. We call such a singular disk a *clasp disk* of




Figure 1: A clasp singularity

the knot. Let K be a knot in S^3 , and D a clasp disk of K . Let $c(D)$ denote the number of clasp singularities in D . Then the *clasp number* of K is $c(K) = \min\{c(D) \mid D \text{ is a clasp disk of } K\}$. We refer the reader to [4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18] for related topics of the clasp number. In this paper, we suppose that every link is in S^3 and oriented, and the notation of prime knots follows Rolfsen's book [16].

For a knot K , let $g(K)$ and $u(K)$ be the genus and the unknotting number of K respectively. In [18], T. Shibuya proved that the genus and the unknotting number are lower bounds of the clasp number. In other words, for a knot K we have $\max\{g(K), u(K)\} \leq c(K)$. Most of the prime knots with up to 10 crossings satisfy the equality above (cf. Appendix). Then it is natural to ask the following question.

Question 1.1. *Does there exist a prime knot K such that $\max\{g(K), u(K)\} < c(K)$?*

For an integer n , let K_n be the knot as illustrated in Figure 2. Here, an integer in the rectangle denotes the number of half twists. We note that $K_0 = \overline{3}_1 \# 4_1$ (Figure 3) and $K_1 = 10_{97}$ (Figure 4). Note that all the knots K_n are distinct one another (Proposition 3.1). The main result of this paper is the following theorem. It is the affirmative answer of Question 1.1.




Figure 2: The knot K_n




Figure 3: $K_0 = \overline{3_1} \# 4_1$

Figure 4: $K_1 = 10_{97}$

Theorem 1.2. *If n is odd, then the knots K_n are prime and we have $\max\{g(K_n), u(K_n)\} < c(K_n)$.*

In Appendix, we show a table for the clasp numbers of prime knots with up to 10 crossings. From the table, we raise the following question concerning an upper bound of the clasp number.

Question 1.3. *Let $cr(K)$ be the crossing number of a knot K . For any non-trivial knot K , does the following inequality hold?*

$$c(K) \leq \left\lceil \frac{cr(K) - 1}{2} \right\rceil,$$

where $[r]$ denotes the integer part of a rational number r .

Question 1.3 is affirmative for prime knots with up to 10 crossings (cf. Appendix) and the knots K_n (Proposition 3.6). In Section 2, we calculate the Conway polynomial of a knot K with $c(K) \leq 2$, giving an alternative proof of Morimoto's result [14] (Lemma 2.1). (Since it seems to be hard to obtain a reference [14], it is also our purpose to expose it to the reader.) Moreover we give a sufficient condition for a knot K to satisfy $c(K) \geq 3$. In Section 3, we investigate the knots K_n and prove Theorem 1.2, and we also prove that Question 1.3 is affirmative for the knots K_n .

2 A sufficient condition for a knot K to satisfy $c(K) \geq 3$

In [14], K. Morimoto calculated the Alexander module of a knot from a clasp disk. In this section, we calculate the Conway polynomial of a knot K with $c(K) \leq 2$, giving an alternative proof of Morimoto's result [14], and then we give a sufficient condition for a knot K to satisfy $c(K) \geq 3$.

First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. (cf. [14]) *Let K be a knot with $c(K) \leq 2$. The Conway polynomial $\nabla_K(z)$ of K is expressed as follows:*

$$\nabla_K(z) = (b_1 b_2 + \varepsilon b_3 (b_3 + \delta)) z^4 + (b_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon \delta) z^2 + 1$$

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}, \delta \in \{0, 1\}).$$

Proof. Let K be a knot with $c(K) \leq 2$. Then there exists a clasp disk D of K such that $c(D) = 2$. We may assume that D is a surface which is the union of a disk B_0 and two clasping bands B_1 and B_2 (see Figure 5). Here, a clasping band means a pair of embedded 2-disks in S^3 with a clasp singularity as illustrated in Figure 6. The sign of a clasping band is defined as the linking number of the Hopf link bounding the clasping band. For each i ($i = 1, 2$),




Figure 5: Example of a clasp disk



Figure 6: Positive and negative clasping bands

let c_i be the clasp singularity in D corresponding to the clasping band B_i . We define the sign $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ of the clasp singularity c_i as the sign of B_i . For each $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, an (ε) -Hopf band is an annulus in S^3 whose boundary is a Hopf link with linking number ε . Let F be the oriented surface obtained from D by the following operation:

- (*) For each i ($i = 1, 2$), replacing the neighborhood of the clasp singularity c_i with a plumbing of an (ε_i) -Hopf band, denoted by H_i , as illustrated in Figure 7.

Note that the surface F is a genus two Seifert surface of K . For example, the Seifert surface in Figure 8 is obtained from the clasp disk in Figure 5 by the operation $(*)$. We take a homological basis $\{[\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], [\beta_1], [\beta_2]\}$ of $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z})$ as follows (see Figure 8):

- (1) For each i ($i = 1, 2$), α_i is a loop corresponding to the core of the clasp band B_i and β_i is the core loop of the Hopf band H_i .
- (2) Orientations of α_i and β_i are chosen so that the intersection number $[\beta_i] \cdot [\alpha_i]$ is 1.




Figure 7: A construction of a Seifert surface F from a clasp disk D




Figure 8: The Seifert surface obtained from the clasp disk in Figure 5 and a homological basis $\{[\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], [\beta_1], [\beta_2]\}$

Let $a_{ij} = \text{lk}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^+)$ be the linking number of α_i and α_j^+ , where α_j^+ is a loop obtained by pushing α_j to the positive normal direction of F . The Seifert matrix V of K obtained from the homological basis $\{[\alpha_1], [\alpha_2], [\beta_1], [\beta_2]\}$ is calculated as follows:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -\varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -\varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ of K is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_K(t) &\doteq \det(tV - V^T) \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(t-1) & a_{12}t - a_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ a_{21}t - a_{12} & a_{22}(t-1) & 0 & 1 \\ -t & 0 & -\varepsilon_1(t-1) & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 & -\varepsilon_2(t-1) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \det \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 a_{11}(t-1)^2 - t & \varepsilon_1(t-1)(a_{12}t - a_{21}) \\ \varepsilon_2(t-1)(a_{21}t - a_{12}) & \varepsilon_2 a_{22}(t-1)^2 - t \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (\varepsilon_1 a_{11}(t-1)^2 - t)(\varepsilon_2 a_{22}(t-1)^2 - t) - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 (t-1)^2 (a_{12}t - a_{21})(a_{21}t - a_{12}) \\
&= \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})(t-1)^4 + (-\varepsilon_1 a_{11} - \varepsilon_2 a_{22} + \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 (a_{21} - a_{12})^2)t(t-1)^2 + t^2.
\end{aligned}$$

(The symbol $\doteq$ means “is equal to, up to multiplication by a unit of $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ ”, and V^T means the transpose of V .) Note that if $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 \neq \emptyset$, then we may assume that orientations of α_1 and α_2 are chosen so that the intersection number $[\alpha_1] \cdot [\alpha_2]$ is 1 (see Figure 8). We put $b_1, b_2, b_3, \varepsilon$ and δ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &:= -\varepsilon_1 a_{11}, \quad b_2 := -\varepsilon_2 a_{22}, \quad b_3 := a_{12}, \\
\varepsilon &:= -\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \text{ and } \delta := a_{21} - a_{12} = \begin{cases} 0 & (\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 = \emptyset), \\ 1 & (\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 \neq \emptyset). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

By these substitutions and $\delta^2 = \delta$, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_K(t) &\doteq (b_1 b_2 + \varepsilon b_3(b_3 + \delta))(t-1)^4 + (b_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon \delta)t(t-1)^2 + t^2 \\
&\quad (b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}, \delta \in \{0, 1\}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the Conway polynomial $\nabla_K(z)$ of K , since $\nabla_K(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \det(t^{\frac{1}{2}}V - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}V^T) = t^{-2} \det(tV - V^T)$ and $z^2 = \frac{(t-1)^2}{t}$, we obtain the following:

$$\nabla_K(z) = (b_1 b_2 + \varepsilon b_3(b_3 + \delta))z^4 + (b_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon \delta)z^2 + 1.$$

□

We mention that if a knot K has $c(K) = 1$, then we obtain $\nabla_K(z) = b_1 z^2 + 1$ by substitutions $b_2 = 0, b_3 = 0$ and $\delta = 0$.

By Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that a knot K has $\nabla_K(z) = m_4 z^4 + m_2 z^2 + 1$. If $m_4 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and $m_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $c(K) \geq 3$.*

Proof. Suppose that a knot K has $c(K) \leq 2$ and $\nabla_K(z) = m_4 z^4 + m_2 z^2 + 1$ with $m_4 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and $m_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. By Lemma 2.1, the following holds:

$$m_4 = b_1 b_2 + \varepsilon b_3(b_3 + \delta) \text{ and } m_2 = b_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon \delta$$

for some $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$.

The case of $\delta = 0$: We obtain $m_4 = b_1 b_2 + \varepsilon b_3^2$ and $m_2 = b_1 + b_2$. Since $b_1 + b_2$ is even, $b_1 - b_2$ is also even. Therefore,

$$d := \left(\frac{b_1 - b_2}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} m_2^2 - m_4 + \varepsilon b_3^2 \equiv 6 + \varepsilon b_3^2 \pmod{8}.$$

Then $d \equiv 2, 5, 6$ or $7 \pmod{8}$, and d cannot be a square integer. This is a contradiction.

The case of $\delta = 1$: We obtain $m_4 = b_1 b_2 + \varepsilon b_3(b_3 + 1)$ and $m_2 = b_1 + b_2 - \varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} d' &:= (b_1 - b_2)^2 = (m_2 + \varepsilon)^2 - 4(m_4 - \varepsilon b_3(b_3 + 1)) \\ &\equiv 1 - 4 \pmod{8} \\ &\equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{aligned}$$

Then d' cannot be a square integer. This is a contradiction. $\square$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, first we calculate the Conway polynomial (Proposition 3.1) and the Jones polynomial (Proposition 3.2) of the knot K_n by using the skein relation. Next, we provide a lemma (Lemma 3.3) and then we prove Theorem 1.2.

Let J be the oriented link as illustrated in Figure 9. It is obtained from the knot K_n by smoothing one of $|2n - 1|$ crossings in the rectangle in Figure 2. We note that it is equivalent to $L9a41\{1\}$ in LinkInfo table [3].




Figure 9: The link $J = L9a41\{1\}$

3.1 The Conway polynomial of the knot K_n

The Conway polynomial $\nabla_L(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ of an oriented link L is characterized by the following skein relation:

$$(i) \nabla_{\text{unknot}}(z) = 1 \quad (ii) \nabla_{L_+}(z) - \nabla_{L_-}(z) = z \nabla_{L_0}(z),$$

where three oriented links L_+ , L_- and L_0 coincide except in the neighborhood of a point as illustrated in Figure 10. We call (L_+, L_-, L_0) a skein triple. We can calculate the Conway polynomial $\nabla_{K_n}(z)$ of the knot K_n as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *The Conway polynomial $\nabla_{K_n}(z)$ of the knot K_n is as follows:*

$$\nabla_{K_n}(z) = -(4n + 1)z^4 + 2nz^2 + 1.$$




Figure 10: A skein triple (L_+, L_-, L_0)

Proof. The case of $n = 0$: Since $K_0 = \overline{3_1} \# 4_1$,

$$\nabla_{K_0}(z) = \nabla_{\overline{3_1}}(z) \nabla_{4_1}(z) = (z^2 + 1)(-z^2 + 1) = -z^4 + 1.$$

The case of $n > 0$: The Conway polynomial of J is $\nabla_J(z) = -4z^3 + 2z$. By considering a skein triple (K_n, K_{n-1}, J) , the Conway polynomial of K_n can be calculated inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{K_n}(z) &= \nabla_{K_{n-1}}(z) + z \nabla_J(z) \\ &= (\nabla_{K_{n-2}}(z) + z \nabla_J(z)) + z \nabla_J(z) \\ &\dots \\ &= \nabla_{K_0}(z) + n z \nabla_J(z) \\ &= (-z^4 + 1) + n z (-4z^3 + 2z) = -(4n + 1)z^4 + 2nz^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can calculate it in the case of $n < 0$. □

By Proposition 3.1, we see that all the knots K_n are distinct one another.

3.2 The Jones polynomial of K_n

The Jones polynomial $V_L(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ of an oriented link L is characterized by the following skein relation:

$$(i) V_{\text{unknot}}(t) = 1 \quad (ii) t^{-1}V_{L_+}(t) - tV_{L_-}(t) = (t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}})V_{L_0}(t)$$

for any skein triple (L_+, L_-, L_0) . We can calculate the Jones polynomial $V_{K_n}(t)$ of the knot K_n as follows.

Proposition 3.2. *The Jones polynomial $V_{K_n}(t)$ of the knot K_n is as follows:*

$$V_{K_n}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{-1} - 1 + 2t - 3t^2 + 3t^3 - 2t^4 + 2t^5 - t^6 & (n = 0) \\ t^{2n}V_{K_0}(t) + \sigma t^\sigma (t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}})V_J(t)(1 + t^{2\sigma} + \dots + t^{2(n-\sigma)}) & (n \neq 0), \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma := \frac{n}{|n|} \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $n \neq 0$.

Proof. The case of $n = 0$: Since $K_0 = \overline{3_1} \# 4_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{K_0}(t) &= V_{\overline{3_1}}(t) V_{4_1}(t) = (t + t^3 - t^4)(t^{-2} - t^{-1} + 1 - t + t^2) \\ &= t^{-1} - 1 + 2t - 3t^2 + 3t^3 - 2t^4 + 2t^5 - t^6. \end{aligned}$$

The case of $n > 0$: By considering a skein triple (K_n, K_{n-1}, J) , the Jones polynomial of K_n can be calculated inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{K_n}(t) &= t^2 V_{K_{n-1}}(t) + t(t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) V_J(t) \\
&= t^2 (t^2 V_{K_{n-2}}(t) + t(t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) V_J(t)) + t(t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) V_J(t) \\
&\dots \\
&= t^{2n} V_{K_0}(t) + t(t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) V_J(t) (1 + t^2 + \dots + t^{2(n-1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can calculate it in the case of $n < 0$. □

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *For the knot K_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), we have the following:*

- (1) $g(K_n) = 2$.
- (2) $u(K_n) \leq 2$.
- (3) $2 \leq c(K_n) \leq 4$. In particular, $c(K_n) \geq 3$ for odd n .
- (4) K_n is prime for $n \neq 0, -4$.

Proof. (1) Since we can obtain a genus two Seifert surface of K_n as illustrated in Figure 11, we have $g(K_n) \leq 2$. Since the degree of $\nabla_{K_n}(z)$ is four (Proposition 3.1), we have $g(K_n) \geq 2$. Therefore we obtain $g(K_n) = 2$.

(2) Since we can unknot the knot K_n by crossing changes at dotted circles in Figure 2, we have $u(K_n) \leq 2$.

(3) By (1) we have $c(K_n) \geq 2$, and by Figure 12 we have $c(K_n) \leq 4$. Suppose that n is odd. Since $\nabla_{K_n}(z) = -(4n+1)z^4 + 2nz^2 + 1$, it implies that $-(4n+1) \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and $2n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Therefore by Proposition 2.2 we obtain $c(K_n) \geq 3$.

(4) Suppose that K_n is a composite knot. By (1), K_n is decomposed into two genus one knots. Since the degree of the Conway polynomial $\nabla_{K_n}(z)$ of K_n is four, $\nabla_{K_n}(z)$ is decomposed into two Conway polynomials with degree two, that is, $\nabla_{K_n}(z) = (pz^2 + 1)(qz^2 + 1)$ for some integers p and q . Therefore we have

$$pq = -(4n+1) \quad \text{and} \quad p+q = 2n.$$

Then p and q are integral roots of the equation $x^2 - 2nx - (4n+1) = 0$, and hence the discriminant of the equation is a square integer. Hence there is a non-negative integer s such that $n^2 + 4n + 1 = s^2$. Since it implies that $(n+2+s)(n+2-s) = 3$, we have $s = 1$, and $n = 0$ and -4 . □




Figure 11: A Seifert surface of K_n




Figure 12: A clasp disk of K_n

Remark 3.4. (1) On $u(K_n)$, by using the Nakanishi index (cf. [8]) reduced modulo 2, we can see that $u(K_n) = 2$ for even n . The authors conjecture that $u(K_n) = 2$ for all n .

(2) On $c(K_n)$, we see that $c(K_0) = 2$ and $c(K_1) = 3$. The authors conjecture that $c(K_n) = 4$ for any integer n except 0 and 1.

(3) On the primeness of K_n , the authors conjecture that K_{-4} is also prime.

Now, we prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that n is odd. By Lemma 3.3, the knot K_n is prime and we have $\max\{g(K_n), u(K_n)\} < c(K_n)$. □

We show that Question 1.3 is affirmative for the knots K_n .

Lemma 3.5. For the knots K_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), $cr(K_n) = 2n + 8$ for positive n , $cr(K_0) = 7$, and $-2n + 7 \leq cr(K_n) \leq -2n + 10$ for negative n .

Proof. The case of $n > 0$: Since we can see that K_n has a reduced alternating diagram (see Figure 2), by [15, Theorem 13.5] we have $cr(K_n) = 2n + 8$.

The case of $n = 0$: Since $K_0 = \overline{3_1} \# 4_1$, by [15, Theorem 13.5] we have $cr(K_0) = 7$.

The case of $n < 0$: It is known that the degree of the Jones polynomial of a knot is a lower bound of the crossing number of the knot. The Jones polynomial of K_n is as follows:

$$V_{K_n}(t) = t^{2n}V_{K_0}(t) - t^{-1}(t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}})V_J(t)(1 + t^{-2} + \dots + t^{2(n+1)}),$$

where

$$V_{K_0}(t) = t^{-1} - 1 + 2t - 3t^2 + 3t^3 - 2t^4 + 2t^5 - t^6$$

and

$$V_J(t) = -t^{-\frac{3}{2}} + 2t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 4t^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6t^{\frac{3}{2}} - 6t^{\frac{5}{2}} + 5t^{\frac{7}{2}} - 6t^{\frac{9}{2}} + 3t^{\frac{11}{2}} - 2t^{\frac{13}{2}} + t^{\frac{15}{2}}.$$

Therefore we have $cr(K_n) \geq 7 - 2n$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $cr(K_n) \leq -2n + 10$. □

By Lemma 3.3 (3) and Lemma 3.5, we have the following.

Proposition 3.6. For the knots K_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), the following holds.

$$c(K_n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{cr(K_n) - 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

This is a supporting evidence that the question is affirmative.

Appendix

In the table below, g is the genus, u is the unknotting number, c is the clasp number, and $X = 2$ or 3 (cf. [8, 9, 16]). The clasp numbers of torus knots $([5, 13])$ and doubled knots (cf. [10, 17]) were determined. We refer the newest information of the unknotting numbers mainly from KnotInfo [2]. In the table, we are using the notation of prime knots in Rolfsen's table [16]. (We note that (1) 10_{161} is equivalent to 10_{162} in Rolfsen's table and Kawauchi's table [8, 9], (2) in Kawauchi's table, 10_{83} and 10_{86} are interchanged from Rolfsen's table, and (3) Knot Atlas [1] and KnotInfo follow basically Rolfsen's table, but they remove 10_{162} in Rolfsen's table and denote 10_n ($163 \leq n \leq 166$) in Rolfsen's table by 10_{n-1} .)

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank S. Kamada, H. Matsuda, K. Morimoto, K. Taniyama and I. Tayama for their helpful comments.

knot	g	u	c
3 ₁	1	1	1
4 ₁	1	1	1
5 ₁	2	2	2
5 ₂	1	1	1
6 ₁	1	1	1
6 ₂	2	1	2
6 ₃	2	1	2
7 ₁	3	3	3
7 ₂	1	1	1
7 ₃	2	2	2
7 ₄	1	2	2
7 ₅	2	2	2
7 ₆	2	1	2
7 ₇	2	1	2
8 ₁	1	1	1
8 ₂	3	2	3
8 ₃	1	2	2
8 ₄	2	2	2
8 ₅	3	2	3
8 ₆	2	2	2
8 ₇	3	1	3
8 ₈	2	2	2
8 ₉	3	1	3
8 ₁₀	3	2	3
8 ₁₁	2	1	2
8 ₁₂	2	2	2
8 ₁₃	2	1	2
8 ₁₄	2	1	2
8 ₁₅	2	2	2
8 ₁₆	3	2	3
8 ₁₇	3	1	3
8 ₁₈	3	2	3
8 ₁₉	3	3	3
8 ₂₀	2	1	2
8 ₂₁	2	1	2
9 ₁	4	4	4
9 ₂	1	1	1
9 ₃	3	3	3
9 ₄	2	2	2
9 ₅	1	2	2
9 ₆	3	3	3
9 ₇	2	2	2

knot	g	u	c
9 ₈	2	2	2
9 ₉	3	3	3
9 ₁₀	2	3	3
9 ₁₁	3	2	3
9 ₁₂	2	1	2
9 ₁₃	2	3	3
9 ₁₄	2	1	2
9 ₁₅	2	2	2
9 ₁₆	3	3	3
9 ₁₇	3	2	3
9 ₁₈	2	2	2
9 ₁₉	2	1	2
9 ₂₀	3	2	3
9 ₂₁	2	1	2
9 ₂₂	3	1	3
9 ₂₃	2	2	2
9 ₂₄	3	1	3
9 ₂₅	2	2	2
9 ₂₆	3	1	3
9 ₂₇	3	1	3
9 ₂₈	3	1	3
9 ₂₉	3	2	3
9 ₃₀	3	1	3
9 ₃₁	3	2	3
9 ₃₂	3	2	3
9 ₃₃	3	1	3
9 ₃₄	3	1	3
9 ₃₅	1	3	3
9 ₃₆	3	2	3
9 ₃₇	2	2	2
9 ₃₈	2	3	3
9 ₃₉	2	1	X
9 ₄₀	3	2	3
9 ₄₁	2	2	X
9 ₄₂	2	1	2
9 ₄₃	3	2	3
9 ₄₄	2	1	2
9 ₄₅	2	1	2
9 ₄₆	1	2	2
9 ₄₇	3	2	3
9 ₄₈	2	2	2
9 ₄₉	2	3	3

knot	g	u	c
10 ₁	1	1	1
10 ₂	4	3	4
10 ₃	1	2	2
10 ₄	2	2	2
10 ₅	4	2	4
10 ₆	3	3	3
10 ₇	2	1	2
10 ₈	3	2	3
10 ₉	4	1	4
10 ₁₀	2	1	2
10 ₁₁	2	X	X
10 ₁₂	3	2	3
10 ₁₃	2	2	2
10 ₁₄	3	2	3
10 ₁₅	3	2	3
10 ₁₆	2	2	X
10 ₁₇	4	1	4
10 ₁₈	2	1	2
10 ₁₉	3	2	3
10 ₂₀	2	2	2
10 ₂₁	3	2	3
10 ₂₂	3	2	3
10 ₂₃	3	1	3
10 ₂₄	2	2	2
10 ₂₅	3	2	3
10 ₂₆	3	1	3
10 ₂₇	3	1	3
10 ₂₈	2	2	X
10 ₂₉	3	2	3
10 ₃₀	2	1	X
10 ₃₁	2	1	2
10 ₃₂	3	1	3
10 ₃₃	2	1	X
10 ₃₄	2	2	2
10 ₃₅	2	2	2
10 ₃₆	2	2	2
10 ₃₇	2	2	2
10 ₃₈	2	2	2
10 ₃₉	3	2	3
10 ₄₀	3	2	3
10 ₄₁	3	2	3
10 ₄₂	3	1	3

knot	g	u	c
10 ₄₃	3	2	3
10 ₄₄	3	1	3
10 ₄₅	3	2	3
10 ₄₆	4	3	4
10 ₄₇	4	X	4
10 ₄₈	4	2	4
10 ₄₉	3	3	3
10 ₅₀	3	2	3
10 ₅₁	3	X	3
10 ₅₂	3	2	3
10 ₅₃	2	3	3
10 ₅₄	3	X	3
10 ₅₅	2	2	2
10 ₅₆	3	2	3
10 ₅₇	3	2	3
10 ₅₈	2	2	2
10 ₅₉	3	1	3
10 ₆₀	3	1	3
10 ₆₁	3	X	3
10 ₆₂	4	2	4
10 ₆₃	2	2	2
10 ₆₄	4	2	4
10 ₆₅	3	2	3
10 ₆₆	3	3	3
10 ₆₇	2	2	2
10 ₆₈	2	2	X
10 ₆₉	3	2	3
10 ₇₀	3	2	3
10 ₇₁	3	1	3
10 ₇₂	3	2	3
10 ₇₃	3	1	3
10 ₇₄	2	2	X
10 ₇₅	3	2	3
10 ₇₆	3	X	3
10 ₇₇	3	X	3
10 ₇₈	3	2	3
10 ₇₉	4	X	4
10 ₈₀	3	3	3
10 ₈₁	3	2	3
10 ₈₂	4	1	4
10 ₈₃	3	2	3
10 ₈₄	3	1	3

knot	g	u	c
10 ₈₅	4	2	4
10 ₈₆	3	2	3
10 ₈₇	3	2	3
10 ₈₈	3	1	3
10 ₈₉	3	2	3
10 ₉₀	3	2	3
10 ₉₁	4	1	4
10 ₉₂	3	2	3
10 ₉₃	3	2	3
10 ₉₄	4	2	4
10 ₉₅	3	1	3
10 ₉₆	3	2	3
10 ₉₇	2	2	3
10 ₉₈	3	2	3
10 ₉₉	4	2	4
10 ₁₀₀	4	X	4
10 ₁₀₁	2	3	3
10 ₁₀₂	3	1	3
10 ₁₀₃	3	3	3
10 ₁₀₄	4	1	4
10 ₁₀₅	3	2	3
10 ₁₀₆	4	2	4
10 ₁₀₇	3	1	3
10 ₁₀₈	3	2	3
10 ₁₀₉	4	2	4
10 ₁₁₀	3	2	3
10 ₁₁₁	3	2	3
10 ₁₁₂	4	2	4
10 ₁₁₃	3	1	3
10 ₁₁₄	3	1	3
10 ₁₁₅	3	2	3
10 ₁₁₆	4	2	4
10 ₁₁₇	3	2	3
10 ₁₁₈	4	1	4
10 ₁₁₉	3	1	3
10 ₁₂₀	2	3	3
10 ₁₂₁	3	2	3
10 ₁₂₂	3	2	3
10 ₁₂₃	4	2	4
10 ₁₂₄	4	4	4
10 ₁₂₅	3	2	3
10 ₁₂₆	3	2	3

knot	g	u	c
10 ₁₂₇	3	2	3
10 ₁₂₈	3	3	3
10 ₁₂₉	2	1	X
10 ₁₃₀	2	2	X
10 ₁₃₁	2	1	X
10 ₁₃₂	2	1	2
10 ₁₃₃	2	1	2
10 ₁₃₄	3	3	3
10 ₁₃₅	2	2	2
10 ₁₃₆	2	1	2
10 ₁₃₇	2	1	2
10 ₁₃₈	3	2	3
10 ₁₃₉	4	4	4
10 ₁₄₀	2	2	2
10 ₁₄₁	3	1	3
10 ₁₄₂	3	3	3
10 ₁₄₃	3	1	3
10 ₁₄₄	2	2	2
10 ₁₄₅	2	2	2
10 ₁₄₆	2	1	2
10 ₁₄₇	2	1	2
10 ₁₄₈	3	2	3
10 ₁₄₉	3	2	3
10 ₁₅₀	3	2	3
10 ₁₅₁	3	2	3
10 ₁₅₂	4	4	4
10 ₁₅₃	3	2	3
10 ₁₅₄	3	3	3
10 ₁₅₅	3	2	3
10 ₁₅₆	3	1	3
10 ₁₅₇	3	2	3
10 ₁₅₈	3	2	3
10 ₁₅₉	3	1	3
10 ₁₆₀	3	2	3
10 ₁₆₁	3	3	3
10 ₁₆₂	3	3	3
10 ₁₆₃	2	2	X
10 ₁₆₄	3	2	3
10 ₁₆₅	2	1	X
10 ₁₆₆	2	2	X

References

- [1] D. Bar-Natan and S. Morrison, *Knot Atlas*, <http://katlas.org/wiki/MainPage>
- [2] J. C. Cha and C. Livingston, *KnotInfo*, <http://www.indiana.edu/~knotinfo/>
- [3] J. C. Cha and C. Livingston, *LinkInfo*, <http://www.indiana.edu/~linkinfo/>
- [4] D. Cooper, *The universal abelian cover of a link*, In Low-Dimensional Topology (Bangor, 1979) London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **48**, Cambridge University Press (1982) 51–66.
- [5] H. Goda, M. Hirasawa and Y. Yamada, *Lissajous curves as A' Campo divides, torus knots and their fiber surfaces*, Tokyo J. Math. **25** (2002) 485–491.
- [6] M. Hirasawa, *Visualization of A' Campo's fibered links and unknotting operation*, Topology and its Appl. **121** (2002) 287–304.
- [7] T. Kadokami, *Component-isotopy of Seifert complexes*, J. Knot Theory Ramif., **11** (2002), 683–700.
- [8] A. Kawauchi, *A Survey of Knot Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag (1996).
- [9] A. Kawauchi, *Corrections of "A survey of Knot Theory"*, <http://www.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp/~kawauchi/index.html>
- [10] T. Kobayashi, *Minimal genus Seifert surfaces for unknotting number 1 knots*, Kobe J. Math. **6** (1989) 53–62.
- [11] H. Matsuda, *On the additivity of clasp numbers*, Osaka J. Math. **40** (2003) 801–833.
- [12] K. Morimoto, *On the additivity of the clasp singularities*, Kobe J. Math. **3** (1987) 179–185.
- [13] K. Morimoto, *On the clasp number of torus knots* (in Japanese), The Review of Takusyoku University **177** (1989) 319–323.
- [14] K. Morimoto, *Calculation of Alexander module from a clasp singular disk* (in Japanese), Bulletin of Science and Engineering, Takusyoku Univ. **6** No.4 (1998) 37–42.
- [15] K. Murasugi, *On invariants of graphs with applications to knot theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **314**, no.1 (1989) 1–49.
- [16] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and Links*, Publish or Perish, Inc. (1976).
- [17] M. G. Scharlemann and A. Thompson, *Unknotting number, genus, and companion tori*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988) 191–205.
- [18] T. Shibuya, *Some relation among various numerical invariants for links*, Osaka J. Math. **11** (1974) 313–322.